

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/606,314	06/29/2000	Richard Fike	0942.4290005/RWE/BJD	1340
26111 7590 01/28/2004 STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			FLOOD, MICHELE C	
	WASHINGTON, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1654	
			DATE MAILED: 01/28/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

J. Aug.	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/606,314	FIKE ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michele C. Flood	1654			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 29 December 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the control of the control	ation. A proper reply to a			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	f extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>29 December 2003</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>44-46 and 105</u> .					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>27,36,39,70,72,92-95,103 and 104.</u>					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by th	ne Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).				
10. Other:	(a)(1,10,110)(1,10				
	(	CHRISTOPHER R. TATE PRIMARY EXAMINER			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not deemed persuasive for the reasons of record set forth in the previous Office action dated October 1, 2003, with regard to Claims 27, 36, 39, 70, 72, 92-95, 103 and 104. With regard to Claim 105, the inclusion of the claim under the 102 and 103 rejections is an obvious typographical error; and, Claims 44-46 and 105 are allowable as the prior art does not teach or suggest the claimed subject matter.

CHRISTOPHER R. TATE PRIMARY EXAMINER